207255048,49,50 061001783544 04/06/66 COURT REPORTER **TRACKING#** 974707 000978847 BOISE L BOISEY LAVERN pleast BOISY LEVERN RDW 21206 LEVERN DATE Election of Trial SHAMROCK AVE posonu \$1D# NEAL, BUIGHY Defense State BALTIMORE MEAL. 207255050 NEAL, SUMMONS **DOCKET ENTRIES** 4425 OCATION æ Recognizance taken / District Court Recognizance taken / Circuit Court 34/06/46 D 04/06/66 B/M 061001783544 Arraigned and Pleads VIC Circuit Court Docketing Date BOISEY LAVERN OSHI 000978847 974707 F SINA 000978847 Appearance of Appearance of NEAL, BOISY LEVERN BOISE L BOISEY LAVERN BOISY LEVERN RDW LAVERN ASST. STATE'S ATTY 21206. SID# 00097/ WEAL, BDISEY LEVERN SHAMROCK AVE BUISE T AGE 4425 SHAMROCK AVE BAL TIMORE ... MD 707255049 DATE 0725504B MEAL: NEAL. NEAL,

1908 to admin at hicks while the the the them of the constituted as 17508 to admin at hicks where the theory to a second the constituted as 17508 to a second to a
--

|--|

Boisey Love n Weal

of	NO.		-	st Cere		00 360		5	tot Care		WIOU9 A	Circa	tolula.	4.5 3.5 3.5 4.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5		cole	7						Appeal	Santance	7		20 - 9K			
PAGE		,	COC	Consecutive to	PROB # 82402134	07.03.00		A CHG/2/ GOC 6/14/87		ROB COR	Concuração de	Gradgen in	ľ	DEGINCONCURAL TO CO	PROB	Without Parole	al Souten	(Perison, 5)	ا ا	#1768-	3.	Poisson, I	Notice of	Lopelli 1	ad Due	3	CSS VIA			
2494050		SDL	·	Overs)Ud		Onla		OVERCE BEG	۵		use of	SHO SHO				100		·	Heathern Greek	and " Demie		1	to Correct	3	ed to	d to	d		
NO.2072550479	DOCKET ENTRIES		,		\$ 0 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	\ \ \					COSTS			3	Enry on the Control of the Control o	COSTS TO Be Served	en Greetion of	(F) antes		000	7		pava to	1 3	1254	R5519	Grwn arda	(S Bind	-	
CASE NC	0	•	5		Stor	COST		. J	MEL	SUSP	000		7 10		(C.2.38)		1	T .		4. Milion	0110		73	8	72	10	00000	SIL1-3958-7467		
		\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	NO.047	VERD	700 DOC	LU more		10000	KEND	100 DOC	1:2		NO. 050	VERD	18		State Motion	in Hours	1	1) co con stand	H. 40	-	anlention	J. 16. J. arc	7 54		Drie ne	# 8161-3		
	DATE	12/20/19			minima												2/20/19			12/20/19			0/17/4				10/le/20			CC-65 (3/02)

9 † ö PAGE 15-03 Destrated CASE NO. 207255049 + R DOCKET ENTRIES Prosa CSS Leave 08-14-20 application) 70 10.23.20 DATE Boldey CC-65 (3/b2)

BOISEY LEVERN NEAL

DEFENDANT BOMM

Chining Day THE CIRCUIT COURT Beatmora Chor

Service Constitution of the service of the service

BALTIMORE CITY -

:Case No.: 207255049~51

STATE OF MARYLAND,

>

RESPONDENT

APPEAL & NOTICE OF APPEAL APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO

.000

Mr. or Ms. Clerk of the Circuit Court.

Please have the Office of the Court Reporter transcribe and prepare the record on behalf of BOISEY LEVERN NEAL, whose applying for an Application for Leave to Appeal & Notice of Appeal from the ruling by the Judge on July 20, 2020.

enter an appeal to the Court of Special Appeals of Maryland from the judgment or order entered in the above captioned case pursuant to Md. Rule 8-Piease

ALLEGATIONS OF ERROR

On March 10, 2009, the Appellant was sentenced to a ten (10) year sentence which was orally pronounced to run consecutive to "both" his Anne Arundel County Circuit Court fifteen (15) year sentence and his Baltimore County Circuit Court sentence of two (2) years for Violation of Parole ("VOP"), totaling 27 years. Mario Cons

October 18, 2006, but the Court did not start his sentence until June 14, 2007, the date that he was "extradited" back to Maryland

- 3. The Appellant has already served thirteen (13) years on the only legal sentence that was running before the resentencing took place and that was the first οţ sentence imposed by the Anne Arundel County Circuit Court sentence (15) years.
- 4. The Court erred by not following CPA § 6-218(b)(1)(c)(d)(e)(1)(2), when the Court "resentenced" the Appellant without giving credit for time spent in custody on the record as required.
- 5. At the resentencing hearing, the Attorney (Gregg Fishcher) for the Appellant violation of Modification" of sentence on behalf of Mr. Neal. The Public Defender Mr. Gregs "Motion a, "failed" to file the Motion for Modification, which is filing" <u>g</u>, would. $^{\rm che}$ that record Neals' "Post-Sentencing Rights." the CII stated clearly

Pursuant to Md. Rules 4~342(h) concerning credit for time spent in custody shall Carolina (extradition), he is entitled to have this credit deducted against his giving the Petitioner credit against the Wilson County Jail in North County Jail in North A.2d 72 (2003) 6-218 Carolina (extradition) prior to his trial. The Judge erred in refusing to October 18, be credited against the Petitioner's sentence pursuant to CPA § Appellant his 239 days (7 months, 3 weeks, 6 days) from sentence for the time the Appellant spent in the Wilson 2007. SEE Spriggs v. State, 152 Md. App. 62, 831 June 14, 201(b)

should have announced on the record (CPA $\S 6-218(e)(1)(2)$) that the Appellant is to receive credit for all time served prior to the imposition of sentence and failed to state the time the Appellant's sentence was imposed, the Judge amount of the credit and on the record as required the

- (e) Credit awarded at sentencing. (1) The court shall award the credit required by this section at the time of sentencing.
- (2) After having communicated with the parties, the court shall tell the defendant and shall state on the record the amount of the credit and the facts on which the (2012)credit is based.

Federal Criminal Law-§-22, 29, 31 - guaranty against double Jeopardy.

The Fifth Amendment guaranty against double jeopardy consists of 3 protection against multiple punishments for the three separate constitutional protections: (1) protection against acquittal; protection against a second prosecution for the same offense offense after same prosecution for the conviction; and (3) same offense. second

Federal Constitutional Law § 848 - due process - reconviction - heavier sentence;

It is a flagrant violation of the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment for a State trial court to follow an announced practice of imposing a heavier sentence upon every reconvicted defendant for purpose of punishing the defendant for his having succeeded in getting his original conviction set aside. the explicit

Federal Criminal Law § 32 – double jeopardy - retrial – credit for time served:

The protection against multiple punishments for the same offense, afforded by the Fifth Amendment guaranty against double jeopardy, any consideration of the question whether, in the imposition of a sentence for the same offense after upon retrial following the setting aside of the first conviction, the Constitution requires that the credit be punishment already endured under the original sentence. implicated in necessarily conviction

The constitutional guaranty against multiple punishment for the Amendment, absolutely requires that punishment by imprisonment conviction has been set aside, and such credit must include the time same offense, provided by the double jeopardy clause of the Fifth already exacted must be fully credited in imposing sentence upon a new-conviction-for-the-same-offense-upon-retrial-after-the-first credited during service of the first sentence for good behavior.

Respectfully submitted,

Swing of Marl # 19.871 Boisey L. Neal

18800 Roxbury Road

Hagerstown, MD. 21746

Certificate of Service

I hereby certify that on this $22^{\prime\prime}$	day of Uctober
2020, a copy of the foregoing	
Notice of Appeal	was mail / hand
delivered to:	

Office of the State's Attorney for Baltimore City 120 E. Baltimore Street, 9th floor Baltimore, Maryland 21202

and delivered to:

Circuit Court for Baltimore City The Clerk's office

100 N. Calvert Street, Rm. 200 Baltimore, MD. 21202 Signature Bushy A.